



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,601	1	1/14/2003	Leonid Kazakevich	I-2-0438.1US	2510	
24374	7590	09/20/2006		EXAMINER		
VOLPE AN	ND KOEN	IIG, P.C.	GESESSE, TILAHUN			
DEPT. ICC UNITED PL	AZA, SUI	TE 1600	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
30 SOUTH 1	17TH STR	EET	2618			
PHILADELI	PHIA, PA	19103	DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary			3,601	KAZAKEVICH ET	AL.
			iner	Art Unit	
		Tilahu	n B. Gesessse	2618	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicater r Reply	tion appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	dress
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL IS IN 1975 IN 197	ING DATE OF 7 CFR 1.136(a). In nation. ry period will apply a by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNI to event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MON experiments application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of	☐ This action allowance exc	is non-final. ept for formal matt	• •	merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are version is/are version is/are version is/are version is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers	vithdrawn from			
10)[The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted on to the drawing correction is re-	(s) be held in abeyar quired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CF	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) <u></u> / a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have to cuments have to the priority documents. Bureau (PCT)	peen received. peen received in A uments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National \$	Stage
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 6/14/04.	948)	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5,9-10,12-16,19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Oda (US 6,628,698).

Claims 1,19 Oda teaches a wireless transmit/receive unit (see fig.6) in which number of figure receives 38-1-38-n) comprising:

Oda teaches a plurality of receivers for processing wireless communication signals for producing respective versions of a wireless communication intended for reception by the WTRU (figure receivers 38-1 to 38-n processes signal received by the WTRU and provides to rake reception section 39, see fig.6).

Oda teaches an interface coupled to the receivers configured to combine respective versions of a wireless communication and produce a combined version of the wireless communication (see figure 2 item 51)

Page 3

Oda teaches a selectively controllable power supply unit for powering each of the receivers (see figure 13, power switch coupled to each figure receives and switches based on CPU control signal).

Oda teaches a control unit coupled with the receivers, the interface and the power supply unit and configured to monitor predetermined parameters to thereby selectively control the powering of the receivers based on predetermined thresholds such that selected receivers are not powered under predetermined conditions when it is desirable to limit energy consumption (see figures 13-19 and column 11 line 6-column 15, lines 46) in which Oda teaches extract data compared with threshold and if is higher power a single figure receiver if it equal or less then supply power to all figure receives so that by powering single receiver conserve power of the WTRU.

Claims 2-4,13 Oda teaches the interface includes received signal power monitoring circuitry configured to output a received signal power indication and the control unit is configured to utilize a predetermined received signal power level as one threshold for controlling the powering of the receivers such that at least one receiver is not powered when the received signal power indication output by the interface exceeds the received signal power level threshold (see figure 6 item 37 and figure 13 and see col. 11, line 55-68 and col.12, lines 29-48).

Application/Control Number: 10/713,601 Page 4

Art Unit: 2618

Claims 5 and 14-16, Oda teaches the interface includes received signal Quality of Service monitoring circuitry configured to output a received signal QOS indication and the control unit is configured to utilize a predetermined received signal QOS level as one threshold for controlling the powering of the receivers such that at least one receiver is not powered when the QOS indication output by the interface exceeds the received signal QOS level threshold (see figure, 17 and col.13 line 28-54).

Claim 9. Oda teaches the WTRU has a primary receiver that is powered in a manner not controlled by the control unit and a secondary receiver that is powered in a manner controlled by the control unit (see fig.13) in which at time the controller powers a single receiver and the rest of receivers un powered and the un powered receives not controlled at the time of off switch.

Claim 10. Oda teaches the WTRU is configured as a mobile unit for use in a Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) wireless communication system (see abstract).

Claim 12. it is an apparatus claim which corresponds to apparatus claims 1, above. Therefore, it is analyzed and rejected for the same reasons as set forth in the claim.

Claim 20, Oda teaches the WTRU is a mobile unit, further comprising using the WTRU for wireless communication in a Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) wireless communication system (see abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2618

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 6-8,11,17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oda in view of Schwengler et al (US 7,031,753).

Claims 6-7,17,Oda does not expressly teach the power supply unit is adapted for one batteries. Schwengler teaches a battery as a power supply (see col. 4, lines 46-57). Then, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art the time of the invention was made to power wireless terminal using a battery pack.

Claims 8,18, Oda does not teach the power supply unit includes a line-in power input and is configured to output an override signal when power.

However, Schwengler teaches the power supply unit includes a line-in power input and is configured to output an override signal when power is supplied (see col. 1, line 66-col.2, lines 8). Then, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art the time of the invention was made to power wireless terminal using external power source wall power outlet, in Oda system, as evidenced by Schwengler, a mobile unit having a finite internal power supply, for the mobile unit to operate longer and prevent from running out power now and then.

Claim 11. Oda does not teach an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC). However, Schwengler teaches a mobile unit functionality embodied in microprocessor or ASIC (see col. 4 lines 30-37). Then, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use microprocessor or ASIC for monitoring the function of the mobile unit operation.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,601

Art Unit: 2618

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tilahun B Gesesse whose telephone number is 571-272-7879. The examiner can normally be reached on flexible schedule.

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899.

The Central FAX Number is 571-273-8300. For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TG

9/14/06

TILAHUN GESESSE